85693

# ORIGINAL



1560 WILSON BOULEVARD SUITE 1200 ARLINGTON, VA 22209 TEL (703) 525-4800 FAX (703) 525-6772 USPE OF TRANSPORTATION

OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

June 23, 2000

Docket Management
Room PL-401
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
400 Seventh St., S.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20590

RE: Petition for Reconsideration to Final Rule FMVSS 208 Occupant Crash Protection (Docket No. NHTSA-00-7013; Notice 1)

On behalf of Mitsubishi Motors R & D of America Inc. <sup>1</sup> (MRDA), I am submitting the attached petition for reconsideration in response to the agency's final rule concerning 49 CFR Part 571, FMVSS 208 Occupant Crash Protection, in the Federal Register on May 12, 2000.

If there are any questions concerning this comment, please contact me at (703) 525-4800, ext. 228 or Mr. Bob Barlow of my staff at (703) 525-4800, ext. 222.

Sincerely

Kenichiro Nagata

Vice President & General Manager

Enclosure

CC: Mr. Clarke Harper, NHTSA Safety Performance Standards

<sup>1</sup> Mitsubishi Motors R&D of America, Inc. is wholly owned by Mitsubishi Motors America, Inc., which in turn is a wholly owned U.S. subsidiary of Mitsubishi Motors Corporation, a publicly traded Japanese corporation.

# Mitsubishi Motors Petition for Reconsideration to Advanced Airbag Final Rule Docket No. NHTSA 00-7013; Notice 1

Mitsubishi Motors is generally supportive of the final rule on advanced air bags. It does have the following concerns which it asks NHTSA to consider as a petition for reconsideration.

#### 1. Sections S16.2.10.1.1 & S 16.2.10.3

In S16.2.10.1.1, the seat is to be adjusted to the mid-height position. In S16.2.10.3, the seat cushion is put to the lowest position. However, if the seat cushion of the seat is the only part of the seat which is vertically adjustable, should the seat cushion be set according to S16.2.10.3 at the lowest position, or, should the seat cushion be set at the most open adjustment position as specified in S16.2.10.3? If the intent of S16.2.10.3 is for seating area, Mitsubishi Motors believes that in this instance, the seat cushion should be set at the mid position of its adjustment range.

#### 2. Sections 16.3.2.1.3 & S16.3.3.1.3

For bucket seats, the AF5 dummy is to be seated in the center of the seat cushion. However, Mitsubishi Motors believes it is unclear in these two sections, if the dummy is to be centered strictly along the centerline through the width of the seat cushion? Or, should the dummy be positioned in this case according to the same seating procedures for the AM50% dummy? Mitsubishi Motors feels that for these sections, the seating procedures for the AM50% dummy should be used.

#### 3. Sections S16.3.2.1.6 & S16.3.3.1.6

First, Mitsubishi Motors feels that the stated procedures in this section are too imprecise and it can foresee difficulties in the future as the final dummy position can vary from test laboratory technician to laboratory technician. As a means to better tighten up the requirements of these sections, Mitsubishi Motors suggests that the hip point should be established at a point rearward from a vertical plane at the front of the seat cushion.

Second, Mitsubishi Motors believes these procedures in these sections will create a gap between the dummy's calf and the front of the seat cushion. The resulting dummy position will not approximate a real world driving position, and the dummy will be positioned too close to the steering wheel. To remedy this situation, Mitsubishi Motors recommends that either the hip point should be established at a point rearward from a vertical plane at the front of the seat cushion, or eliminate the initial heel and thigh 90-degree angle requirement, and carry out this procedure with the legs in the extended position.

# 4. S16.3.2.3.3 ,S163.2.3.4, S16.3.2.3.5

Positioning for the driver's side left knee for the AM50% dummy takes into account whether there is or is not a footrest present in the vehicle. However, for the AF5% dummy the positioning procedures only takes into account a position in which there is not a footrest present. Mitsubishi Motors cannot see any rationale for a difference in this area of the test procedures, between the two test dummies, and asks that the language for the AF5% dummy be revised to include positioning procedures for the driver's left foot, when a footrest is present in a vehicle.

#### 5. S18.2.4

In its comments to the SNPRM, Mitsubishi Motors suggested that the  $\pm 20$ mm of ECE R94 or EEC 96/79 be used as the tolerance for the 40% vehicle overlap with the offset deformable barrier. Mitsubishi Motors does not understand why NHTSA choose the  $\pm 50$ mm tolerance, and still believes this tolerance should be harmonized with the EU regulations.

#### 6. S19.2.2(e)

S19.2.2(e) states, "The means for providing the required visibility may be adjustable manually or automatically, except that the telltale(s) may not be adjusted under any conditions to a level that is not visible, e.g., to the nighttime intensity during daytime driving conditions."

However, if Mitsubishi Motors' has correctly interpreted the meaning of this part of the final rule, the "e.g., to the nighttime intensity during daytime driving conditions", could be interpreted as conflicting with the requirements of FMVSS 101 S5.3.4(a) (b), if for example the telltale dims when parking lamps are switched on. Mitsubishi Motors does not believe it is NHTSA's intent to create two different telltale illumination requirements, and to avoid any misunderstanding of this requirement, asks that NHTSA amend the language in this section to reflect the language in FMVSS 101 S5.3.4(a) (b).

#### 7. S20.2.1.3

When setting the rear-facing child restraint, Plane B passes through the geometric center of the right front outboard seat. Should the manufacturer interpret this to mean the geometric center of the seat cushion or the geometric center of the designated seating position? Mitsubishi Motors believes NHTSA's intent is the geometric center of the designated seating position, and requests that this be made clearer in the regulatory language.

### 8. S20.1.2 & S20.3.2

The seat height in this section is to be set at mid position. Could NHTSA please clarify if the manufacturer is expected to adjust the seat so that the height of the *seating surface* is at the mid position?

# 9. S21.2 & S23.2

As the Hybrid III dummies is used in the static OOP tests, (3 year-old, 6 year-old child, & AF5%) come into direct contact with the seat, ideally the dummy should be as human like as possible; however, certain portions of these dummies (for example dummy posterior) are not suited for testing to some of the advanced air bag requirements (e.g. air bag suppression). It is Mitsubishi Motors hope that NHTSA will consider alternate test dummies, such as the OCATD dummies now under development, for inclusion into the FMVSS 208 family of test dummies.

# 10.S26.3.7

The position of the chin for the AF5 OOP Position-2 is set to the steering wheel rim and forward head movement is stopped only if there is contact with the windshield, but what should be done if the chest, torso, contact the steering wheel first? Mitsubishi Motors believes NHTSA should specify that dummy movement should be stopped if any part of the dummy comes into contact with the steering wheel before the chin can be positioned.